Generative AI and the Future of Creativity: Threat or Catalyst for Innovation?

Umar [1], **M. Bambang Purwanto [2]**

[1] umar@stit-buntetpesantren.ac.id

STIT Buntet Pesantren, Cirebon

[2] mbambangpurwanto@gmail.com

Politeknik Prasetiya Mandiri, Jawa Barat, Indonesia

Article Info	Abstract
	The advent of generative AI has sparked widespread debate about its implications for creativity and innovation. This article explores the dual nature of generative AI as both a potential threat and a catalyst for creative progress. While AI systems are capable of producing art, literature, music, and design with remarkable efficiency, concerns have emerged regarding the erosion of human-centered creativity and the risk of homogenization in artistic expression. On the other hand, proponents argue that AI serves as a powerful tool that expands the boundaries of creativity by augmenting human capabilities, fostering new forms of artistic collaboration, and accelerating innovation in various fields. This article critically examines both perspectives, analyzing the role of generative AI in shaping the future of creativity. It highlights the need for ethical frameworks and a balanced approach to integrating AI technologies, ensuring that human imagination remains central to creative endeavors while leveraging AI's potential for transformative innovation.

Correspondence Address (author1): Umar

E-mail (author 1): umar@stit-buntetpesantren.ac.id

INTRODUCTION

Generative Artificial Intelligence (AI) refers to a category of machine learning models capable of producing content that resembles what humans can create, such as text, images, music, and even video (Anantrasirichai & Bull, 2022). Unlike traditional AI systems, which focus on classification or prediction tasks, generative AI creates new data based on patterns learned from existing datasets (Hofmann et al., 2021). These systems are driven by complex algorithms that simulate creativity by generating

novel outputs from input data, often through the use of neural networks, such as Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs) and Transformer models like GPT (Generative Pre-trained Transformer).

GANs, for example, consist of two competing neural networks—one generates content, and the other evaluates it, forcing the generator to improve its output over time (Alqahtani et al., 2021; Creswell et al., 2018). Transformer models like GPT, on the other hand, are trained on vast amounts of text data, enabling them to generate human-like text by predicting the next word or phrase based on context. Generative AI models are remarkable for their ability to create diverse and sophisticated outputs that can be indistinguishable from human-created content, blurring the lines between machine-generated and human-made works.

In creative fields, generative AI has gained traction due to its ability to assist artists, writers, and designers in producing new ideas or enhancing their creative process (Ooi et al., 2023; Washington, 2023). For instance, AI can generate images based on textual descriptions, compose music that mimics specific styles, or write entire articles based on a few input sentences. This emerging technology is transforming the landscape of creativity, offering powerful tools for professionals while simultaneously raising questions about originality, authorship, and the future of human creativity in an increasingly automated world.

Artificial Intelligence has made significant strides in creative fields, demonstrating its ability to generate art, music, literature, and even complex designs with impressive sophistication (Miller, 2019). In visual arts, AI-powered tools like DALL-E and Midjourney can create original artwork from text descriptions, blending styles and concepts in ways that challenge traditional artistic boundaries (Sabry, 2023). These systems analyse vast datasets of images, learning the features and structures that define different art forms, allowing them to produce everything from abstract paintings to photorealistic landscapes. Designers and architects are also utilizing AI to automate parts of the design process, generating innovative concepts and experimenting with structures that might be difficult for humans to conceive alone.

In literature, AI models like GPT-4 have demonstrated the ability to write coherent and contextually appropriate prose, poetry, and scripts (Chakrabarty, 2024; Cho, 2023). These models can mimic the styles of famous authors, generate dialogue for characters, or even complete unfinished works, offering new possibilities for storytelling and content creation (Umar et al., n.d.). In the music industry, AI tools are being used to compose melodies, harmonize tracks, and replicate the styles of specific genres or artists. These capabilities extend to film and video production, where AI is being used for scriptwriting, editing, and even the generation of deepfake technology for creating realistic digital actors. AI's role in creative industries is continually evolving, making it a powerful tool for artists and creatives who want to explore new ideas, automate routine tasks, and push the boundaries of what is creatively possible.

The influence of AI in creative industries is expanding rapidly, transforming how art, design, music, and writing are conceived and produced (Bordàs Vives, 2023; Miller, 2019). With tools like Adobe's AI-powered design assistants, musicians using AI-driven composition software, and even filmmakers employing AI for editing and special effects, creative professionals are increasingly integrating AI into their workflows. These AI tools enhance productivity by automating repetitive tasks, generating multiple variations of creative ideas, and even producing entirely new works of art. AI's ability to analyse large datasets allows it to mimic styles, predict trends, and suggest novel approaches, giving creators

the freedom to focus more on conceptual aspects of their work rather than manual execution.

Beyond individual artists, AI is reshaping the broader creative economy (Holford, 2019). Major companies in entertainment, fashion, and media are investing heavily in AI technologies to optimize content creation, marketing, and distribution. Streaming platforms, for example, use AI to personalize content recommendations and even generate promotional materials like trailers and posters. In fashion, AI-driven tools help designers forecast trends, automate pattern creation, and develop custom designs. As AI continues to permeate creative industries, it is not only changing the way creative professionals work but also influencing the types of content and experiences that consumers engage with. This growing influence of AI raises important questions about the balance between human creativity and machine-generated content, as well as the future role of artists in an increasingly automated world.

As AI's role in creative industries expands, concerns about its potential impact on human creativity have intensified (Dwivedi et al., 2021; Hearn, 2020). Critics argue that reliance on AI for generating content could lead to a reduction in originality and artistic expression, as creators may begin to depend too heavily on algorithms to produce ideas. By automating the creative process, AI might stifle the unique and spontaneous aspects of human creativity that arise from personal experience, emotion, and intuition. Some fear that AI-generated art and content, while often impressive, could become formulaic, lacking the depth and nuance that comes from human imperfection and individuality. Additionally, there is concern that as AI-generated content becomes more prevalent, audiences may start to devalue human-created works, leading to a homogenization of creative output across industries.

Moreover, the increasing use of AI raises ethical concerns about authorship and ownership in the creative world (Bisoyi, 2022). When AI generates art, music, or literature, questions arise about who truly owns the rights to the work—the individual who inputs the data or the AI system that produced the output? This challenges traditional notions of creativity, intellectual property, and the value of human labour in artistic endeavours. There is also anxiety that as AI becomes more proficient in generating high-quality content, it could lead to job displacement in creative industries, with machines taking over roles that were once reserved for human artists, writers, designers, and musicians. These concerns underscore the need for ongoing dialogue about the ethical, cultural, and societal implications of AI's growing influence on creativity.

Proponents of AI in creative industries argue that AI can serve as a powerful tool for enhancing, rather than replacing, human creativity (Lim, 2018). AI-driven systems can assist artists, designers, and writers by automating repetitive or time-consuming tasks, allowing creators to focus more on conceptual work and innovation. For instance, AI can quickly generate multiple variations of a design, helping artists explore different creative directions faster than they could manually. Writers can use AI to brainstorm ideas, overcome writer's block, or generate drafts, which they can then refine and personalize. In this way, AI acts as a collaborator, augmenting human creativity by providing new tools and capabilities that expand the creative process.

AI can push creative boundaries by offering novel possibilities that human creators might not have considered (Jennings, 2010). For example, generative AI can blend different artistic styles, create entirely new visual or musical patterns, and suggest combinations of ideas that lead to innovative outcomes (Ali Elfa & Dawood, 2023). By analyzing vast amounts of data, AI can identify trends and offer creative insights that can inspire human creators. This ability to introduce fresh perspectives and break

away from conventional patterns has led some to view AI as a catalyst for creativity, encouraging experimentation and innovation in ways that might not be possible through human effort alone. Far from diminishing creativity, AI has the potential to amplify it by providing creators with new tools to explore uncharted artistic territories.

The purpose of this study is to critically examine the impact of generative AI on human creativity, addressing the central question of whether this technology represents a threat to the originality and autonomy of creators or a catalyst that enhances and expands creative possibilities. As generative AI continues to develop and integrate into creative fields such as art, music, literature, and design, it is crucial to understand how this technology influences the creative process. By analyzing AI's role in generating new content, aiding in the creative process, and potentially replacing certain creative tasks, this study seeks to provide a balanced view of the opportunities and challenges that AI presents for human creativity.

METHOD

The study was conducted in a school in Cirebon, West Java. The sample consisted of ten teachers from a school in Cirebon who participated in the English language training program. A qualitative approach (Umar et al., 2024) with a case study approach was used to collect data. Methods used in the collection of data included observations, questionnaires, and in-depth interviews.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

AI-generated creative works often stand out for their technical precision and ability to mimic a wide range of styles. These works can replicate patterns, themes, and even emotional tones that have been fed into the AI during its training, allowing it to produce visually striking art, harmonious music, or coherent writing with impressive consistency. One key difference between AI-generated and human-generated works, however, lies in the source of inspiration and intention. AI draws from vast datasets of existing content, making connections based on statistical probabilities, but it lacks the personal experiences, emotions, and cultural context that typically inform human creativity. This can sometimes result in AI-produced works that, while technically proficient, may feel impersonal or lacking in the depth and intentionality that comes from a human creator's unique perspective.

On the other hand, there are also striking similarities between AI and human-generated creative works, particularly when it comes to their outward appearance or structure. AI-generated content can often be indistinguishable from that created by humans, whether it's an abstract painting, a pop music track, or a piece of poetry. The sophistication of generative AI models, such as GANs and Transformer-based models, enables them to produce works that closely resemble those made by human artists, sometimes even surpassing human creators in terms of speed and variation. However, while AI can emulate human creativity, it typically lacks the underlying narrative, intention, and context that drive human creators, making the resulting works similar on the surface but different in their origins and motivations.

AI-generated content often impresses with its complexity and ability to mimic the intricacies of human-made art, music, and literature. Algorithms trained on vast datasets can produce visually detailed images, intricate melodies, or grammatically complex text, leading to a perception of high technical proficiency. However, when it comes to perceived originality, there are mixed views. While some AI-generated works may appear novel, they are typically derived from patterns in the data that the AI has been exposed to. This reliance on pre-existing content often results in creations that can feel familiar, echoing existing

styles rather than introducing entirely new ones. As a result, many viewers or consumers of AI-generated content perceive it as innovative on a technical level but lacking in true originality when compared to human creativity, which is driven by personal experiences and unpredictable bursts of inspiration.

The emotional depth of AI-generated content is another area where human perceptions tend to diverge. While AI can replicate the superficial aspects of emotional expression—such as creating a painting with dark, brooding tones or generating a melancholic melody—it struggles to capture the nuanced emotional complexity that often characterizes human art. Human creators imbue their work with layers of meaning and personal emotion that resonate deeply with their audience. In contrast, AI-generated content, even when visually or sonically compelling, is often perceived as emotionally shallow or hollow, as it lacks the lived experiences and emotional intent behind human creativity. These patterns suggest that while AI can create complex and technically sophisticated works, it faces limitations in evoking the same sense of emotional authenticity and originality that is typically associated with human-made creations.

1. **Qualitative Insight**

Many creatives view generative AI as a valuable tool that enhances their artistic capabilities and expands their creative horizons. For some, AI serves as a collaborator that can help overcome creative blocks and provide fresh ideas. Artists, for instance, use AI algorithms to generate multiple variations of a design, allowing them to explore new aesthetic possibilities and refine their vision more efficiently. Writers leverage AI for brainstorming, drafting, and even generating content that can inspire or complement their own writing. By automating routine tasks and offering new creative possibilities, AI enables these professionals to focus more on their core artistic vision and experiment with ideas that might otherwise be too time-consuming or complex to pursue.

Conversely, other creatives express concerns about the impact of AI on their work, particularly regarding issues of originality and artistic integrity. Some worry that reliance on AI might dilute the personal touch and emotional depth that characterize human-made art. They argue that while AI can produce impressive results, it lacks the unique perspective and lived experience that come from human creativity. Additionally, there is apprehension about the potential for AI to replace human roles in creative industries, leading to fears of job displacement and a homogenization of artistic output. These creatives emphasize the importance of maintaining a balance between leveraging AI as a tool and preserving the essence of human artistry, advocating for a thoughtful integration of technology that supports rather than supplants individual creativity.

AI has notably enhanced the creative process in various ways, offering tools that streamline workflows and introduce novel possibilities. In the realm of visual arts, AI-powered platforms like DALL-E and Art breeder allow artists to experiment with new styles and compositions quickly. By inputting specific parameters or descriptions, artists can generate a wide array of visual ideas, which can then be refined or incorporated into their work. Similarly, musicians use AI to compose complex pieces, create harmonies, and experiment with sounds that might be difficult to achieve manually. These AI tools enable creatives to push the boundaries of their work, explore new avenues of expression, and accelerate the production process, effectively expanding their creative

potential and opening doors to previously unexplored artistic territories.

On the other hand, AI has also presented challenges that can hinder the creative process. For example, when AI is used extensively to generate content, there is a risk of producing work that feels formulaic or lacks the unique, personal touch of human creativity. In fields like writing and design, some argue that reliance on AI for generating ideas or drafts can lead to a homogenization of content, where the output becomes predictable and repetitive. Additionally, there are concerns that the automation of creative tasks might devalue the skill and effort involved in traditional artistry, potentially leading to a loss of the nuanced, emotional depth that human creators bring to their work. These issues highlight the need for a balanced approach to integrating AI into creative processes, ensuring that technology complements rather than replaces the rich, individualized aspects of human creativity.

The integration of AI into creative processes has sparked an ongoing discussion about finding the right balance between leveraging technology and preserving human creativity. AI, with its ability to analyse vast datasets and generate complex outputs, serves as an invaluable tool that can enhance productivity, inspire new ideas, and streamline repetitive tasks. For instance, AI can automate mundane aspects of design or writing, allowing creatives to focus on the more nuanced and imaginative elements of their work. However, while AI can provide significant support, it is crucial to recognize that human creativity remains the driving force behind truly innovative and emotionally resonant art. The essence of human creativity lies in its capacity for personal expression, spontaneity, and the ability to infuse work with unique perspectives and experiences that AI, with its

reliance on pre-existing data, cannot replicate.

Maintaining a balance between AI and human creativity involves using AI as a complementary tool rather than a replacement. By embracing AI's capabilities to handle routine tasks and generate preliminary ideas, creatives can free up time to explore deeper concepts and experiment with new forms of expression. This collaborative approach ensures that technology amplifies rather than diminishes human creativity. It also emphasizes the importance of retaining the core elements of human artistry, such as emotional depth, originality, and personal insight, which are essential for creating meaningful and impactful works. Ultimately, the successful integration of AI into the creative process depends on how effectively it is used to support and enhance human ingenuity, rather than overshadowing the intrinsic qualities that define artistic and creative endeavours.

2. Key Themes in the Debate

AI as a creative assistant is often viewed as a powerful tool that enhances and supports human creativity rather than competing with it. As an assistant, AI can automate routine tasks such as data analysis, pattern recognition, and preliminary drafts, allowing human creators to focus on the more innovative and subjective aspects of their work. For example, AI can help designers by generating numerous design prototypes based on specific criteria, which designers can then refine and customize according to their vision. Similarly, in writing, AI can produce outlines or suggest content ideas that writers can expand upon, effectively streamlining the creative process and providing valuable inspiration. In this role, AI acts as a collaborator that enhances human capabilities, helping creatives explore

new possibilities and achieve their artistic goals more efficiently.

Conversely, AI as a creative competitor raises concerns about its potential to overshadow human creativity by producing work that rivals or even surpasses that of human creators. In fields such as visual art, music, and literature, AI systems can generate content that is technically proficient and, in some cases, indistinguishable from human-made works. This has led to debates about whether AI-generated content might diminish the value of human-created art and potentially displace human creators. As AI becomes more advanced, the distinction between machine-generated and human-generated content becomes less clear, leading to concerns about the future role of human artists and writers. While AI's capabilities as a competitor can drive innovation and push the boundaries of creativity, they also prompt discussions about the ethical and cultural implications of AI's growing presence in creative fields.

The rise of AI in creative fields has sparked concerns about the potential devaluation of human creativity, as AI-generated content becomes increasingly prevalent and sophisticated. One major concern is that AI's ability to produce high-quality art, literature, and music at scale might overshadow the value of human-created works. As AI systems generate content quickly and efficiently, there is a risk that the uniqueness and effort associated with human creativity could be diminished. This could lead to a perception that human-created works are less valuable or less relevant in comparison to the vast output of AI systems. The fear is that this shift in perception might undermine the appreciation for the personal and emotional investment that goes into human artistry, potentially affecting the livelihoods of artists and creators who rely on their unique contributions.

Additionally, there are concerns that the widespread use of AI in creative processes might lead to a homogenization of artistic output, where originality and personal expression become less prominent. As AI generates content based on patterns and existing data, there is a risk that creative works could become formulaic or lack the distinctive qualities that arise from human experience and individuality. This could result in a cultural landscape where AI-generated content, while technically proficient, lacks the depth and diversity of perspectives that human creators bring. The potential for AI to standardize creative output raises questions about how society values and distinguishes between machine-generated and human-generated art, and what this means for the future of creativity and artistic expression.

AI holds significant potential to push creative boundaries by exploring uncharted territories and generating novel art forms that might not be conceived through traditional methods. By leveraging its ability to analyse vast datasets and identify intricate patterns, AI can create entirely new styles and techniques that blend or transcend existing artistic genres. For instance, AI-generated art can combine elements from disparate art movements, resulting in innovative visual compositions that challenge conventional aesthetics. In music, AI algorithms can compose symphonies that integrate unusual rhythms or harmonies, creating soundscapes that push the limits of traditional genres. These AI-driven explorations open up new possibilities for artists to experiment with and integrate cutting-edge techniques into their work, potentially leading to the emergence of entirely new forms of artistic expression.

Moreover, AI's capacity to simulate and combine diverse influences can lead to the creation of hybrid art forms that break traditional boundaries. For example, AI tools can generate interactive art experiences that respond to viewers' inputs in real time, blending visual art with immersive technology. In literature, AI can create dynamic narratives that evolve based on reader choices, offering a new kind of storytelling that adapts to individual preferences. This potential for AI to innovate and experiment fosters an environment where creativity can flourish in unprecedented ways, encouraging both artists and audiences to embrace and explore novel forms of art. As AI continues to advance, its role in pushing creative boundaries and introducing new art forms promises to enrich the cultural landscape with fresh and imaginative possibilities.

3. **Implications for the Future of Creativity**

Generative AI is poised to significantly reshape creative industries by automating various aspects of the design process and introducing new roles and workflows. In fields such as graphic design and architecture, AI tools can rapidly generate multiple design prototypes based on specific parameters, allowing designers to explore a wider range of options in less time. This automation not only streamlines the design process but also enhances efficiency by reducing the time spent on repetitive tasks. AI-driven tools can assist in creating complex layouts, optimizing patterns, and even generating detailed visualizations, thereby enabling designers to focus more on conceptual and strategic elements. The integration of AI in design processes can lead to increased productivity and the ability to tackle larger and more complex projects with greater ease.

Additionally, the rise of generative AI is creating new roles and opportunities within creative industries. As AI becomes more prevalent, there is a growing demand for professionals who can bridge the gap between technology and artistry, such as AI trainers, data curators, and AI ethicists. AI trainers work on improving and customizing AI models for specific creative tasks, while data curators ensure that the datasets used for training AI are diverse and representative. AI ethicists focus on addressing the ethical implications of AI in creativity, such as issues of authorship and bias. These emerging roles reflect a shift towards a more technology-integrated creative landscape, where human expertise is crucial in harnessing AI's potential while maintaining artistic integrity and innovation. The reshaping of creative industries through AI promises to bring about both enhanced efficiency and new career opportunities, transforming how creativity is practiced and managed.

The integration of AI into creative processes brings significant ethical considerations, particularly regarding intellectual property rights and authorship. As AI systems generate creative works based on patterns and data from existing content, questions arise about who holds the rights to these AI-produced creations. Traditional intellectual property laws are centred around human creators and their original contributions, but AI challenges these frameworks by producing works that are derived from vast datasets rather than individual inspiration. This raises complex issues about the ownership of AI-generated content and whether it should be attributed to the developers of the AI, the users who input the data, or the AI itself. Addressing these questions requires a re-evaluation of existing intellectual property laws to accommodate the unique nature of AI-

generated works and ensure that creators are fairly recognized and compensated.

Furthermore, the ethical implications of AI in creativity extend to concerns about copyright infringement and the potential misuse of proprietary content. AI systems often train on extensive datasets that may include copyrighted material, leading to questions about whether this constitutes a violation of intellectual property rights. The risk of AI reproducing or remixing copyrighted works without proper authorization or compensation highlights the need for clear guidelines and safeguards to protect original content creators. Additionally, the potential for AI to generate content that closely resembles existing works raises concerns about originality and the boundaries of fair use. Addressing these ethical considerations is crucial for developing a balanced approach that supports innovation while respecting the rights and contributions of human creators.

The integration of AI into creative training presents an opportunity to fundamentally shift educational approaches, providing students with new tools and methodologies to enhance their learning experiences. In design, art, and writing programs, incorporating AI can enable students to experiment with advanced generative tools and explore innovative techniques that might not be available through traditional methods. For example, AI-driven software can help students analyse artistic styles, generate multiple design prototypes, or produce interactive storytelling elements, offering hands-on experience with cutting-edge technology. This exposure prepares students for the evolving landscape of creative industries, equipping them with skills to effectively utilize AI in their future careers and fostering a deeper understanding of how technology intersects with artistic expression.

Moreover, integrating AI into creative training can lead to the development of new curricula and pedagogical approaches that emphasize collaboration between human creativity and machine learning. Educational institutions may adopt interdisciplinary programs that combine elements of computer science, data analysis, and creative arts, encouraging students to develop both technical proficiency and artistic vision. By incorporating AI into project-based learning and real-world simulations, students can engage in practical applications of AI tools, learning how to leverage these technologies to enhance their creative processes. This shift not only prepares students for the demands of a technology-driven industry but also promotes critical thinking about the ethical and conceptual implications of AI in creative fields, fostering a holistic approach to education in the digital age.

CONCLUSION

Generative AI has a profound impact on creativity, offering both positive and negative dimensions that shape how art, design, and writing are approached. On the positive side, AI serves as a powerful tool for enhancing creativity by automating repetitive tasks, generating novel ideas, and exploring new artistic possibilities. It allows creators to rapidly prototype designs, experiment with various styles, and break through traditional creative boundaries. By analyzing extensive datasets, AI can provide unique insights and generate content that inspires new directions, effectively amplifying human creativity and efficiency. This supportive role helps artists, designers, and writers push their creative limits and produce innovative work that might not have been possible with traditional methods alone.

Conversely, there are concerns about AI potentially limiting human creative expression.

As AI-generated content becomes more prevalent, there is a risk that it could overshadow the originality and personal touch of human-created works. The reliance on AI for generating ideas or executing creative tasks might lead to a homogenization of artistic output, where works become formulaic or lack the depth and nuance derived from human experience. Additionally, the growing capability of AI to produce sophisticated content raises questions about authorship and the value of human creativity, potentially leading to the devaluation of traditional artistic skills. These negative aspects highlight the need for a balanced approach that leverages AI's capabilities while preserving and celebrating the unique contributions of human creators.

Based on the findings, generative AI emerges as both a potential threat and a catalyst for creativity, depending on how it is integrated and utilized within creative processes. On one hand, AI can act as a catalyst by providing new tools and methodologies that enhance artistic exploration, streamline workflows, and offer novel perspectives that inspire human creators. This capability enables artists, writers, and designers to push the boundaries of their work, experiment with innovative ideas, and achieve greater efficiency. On the other hand, AI presents challenges that could threaten the essence of human creativity, such as the risk of homogenization of artistic output and the potential devaluation of human-generated content. If over-reliance on AI leads to formulaic or repetitive works, it could diminish the unique qualities that come from personal experience and emotional depth. Ultimately, whether AI serves as a threat or a catalyst for creativity depends on how it is employed—whether as a supportive tool that enhances human ingenuity or as a dominant force that overshadows individual artistic expression. Balancing these aspects is crucial to ensuring that AI contributes positively to the creative landscape without undermining the value of human creativity.

Future research on generative AI's role in creative industries should focus on exploring its evolving impact on various artistic domains and assessing how it influences both the creative process and the value of human contributions. Investigating the ways in which AI tools can be integrated into different creative workflows, such as design, writing, and music, will provide insights into their potential to enhance or limit artistic expression. Additionally, examining case studies of AI's application in real-world creative projects can shed light on best practices for leveraging AI to support rather than overshadow human creativity. Research should also address the long-term effects of AI on job roles within creative industries, evaluating how these technologies reshape career paths and skill requirements for artists and creators.

Moreover, there is a critical need to develop ethical guidelines and frameworks to ensure that AI is used in ways that complement rather than replace human creativity. Future research should explore the ethical implications of AI in creativity, including issues of authorship, intellectual property, and the potential for bias in AI-generated content. Establishing clear guidelines on how AI can be employed ethically and responsibly will help preserve the value of human artistic contributions while harnessing the benefits of technological advancements. By focusing on these areas, researchers can contribute to creating a balanced approach that fosters innovation while upholding the integrity and uniqueness of human creativity in the age of AI.

The evolving relationship between technology and creativity reflects a dynamic interplay that continuously reshapes artistic practices and cultural expressions. As AI technologies advance, they offer new tools and methodologies that can augment human creativity, enabling artists to explore innovative forms of expression and streamline their workflows. However, this integration also raises critical questions about the role of technology in

defining the essence of creativity. While AI can generate content with impressive precision and complexity, it is essential to recognize that the true heart of creativity lies in human experience, emotion, and intuition. The challenge moving forward is to strike a balance where technology enhances rather than replaces these uniquely human elements, ensuring that the creative process remains deeply rooted in personal expression and originality.

Maintaining human-centred creativity amidst advancing AI technologies is crucial for preserving the authentic and emotional dimensions of artistic work. As AI becomes an increasingly prominent tool in creative industries, it is vital to emphasize the value of human insight, intuition, and emotional depth in artistic endeavours. By focusing on how technology can complement and support human creativity rather than overshadow it, we can foster an environment where technological advancements enhance rather than diminish the richness of human artistic expression. This approach ensures that as we embrace the possibilities offered by AI, we also honour and uphold the intrinsic qualities that define and drive human creativity, ultimately leading to a more integrated and harmonious creative landscape.

REFERENCES

Ali Elfa, M. A., & Dawood, M. E. T. (2023). Using artificial intelligence for enhancing human creativity. *Journal of Art, Design and Music*, *2*(2), 3.

Alqahtani, H., Kavakli-Thorne, M., & Kumar, G. (2021). Applications of Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs): An Updated Review. *Archives of Computational Methods in Engineering*, *28*(2), 525–552. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11831-019-09388-y

Anantrasirichai, N., & Bull, D. (2022). Artificial intelligence in the creative industries: A review. *Artificial Intelligence Review*, *55*(1), 589–656. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10462-021-10039-7

Bisoyi, A. (2022). Ownership, liability, patentability, and creativity issues in artificial intelligence. *Information Security Journal: A Global Perspective*, *31*(4), 377–386. https://doi.org/10.1080/19393555.2022.2060879

Bordàs Vives, A. (2023). *Artificial Intelligence and the Creative Industries*.

Chakrabarty, T. (2024). *Knowledge-Enhanced Large Language Models and Human-AI Collaboration Frameworks for Creativity Support* [PhD Thesis, Columbia University].

Cho, T. (2023). *A Study on Dramaturgy for AI Screenplays: Writing Alternative Narratives Using GPT* [PhD Thesis, State University of New York at Buffalo].

Creswell, A., White, T., Dumoulin, V., Arulkumaran, K., Sengupta, B., & Bharath, A. A. (2018). Generative adversarial networks: An overview. *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*, *35*(1), 53–65.

Dwivedi, Y. K., Hughes, L., Ismagilova, E., Aarts, G., Coombs, C., Crick, T., Duan, Y., Dwivedi, R., Edwards, J., & Eirug, A. (2021). Artificial Intelligence (AI): Multidisciplinary perspectives on emerging challenges, opportunities, and agenda for research, practice and policy. *International Journal of Information Management*, *57*, 101994.

Hearn, G. (2020). The future of creative work: Creativity and digital disruption. In *The future of creative work* (pp. 1–12). Edward Elgar Publishing.

Hofmann, P., Rückel, T., & Urbach, N. (2021). *Innovating with Artificial Intelligence: Capturing the Constructive Functional Capabilities of Deep Generative Learning*.

Holford, W. D. (2019). The future of human creative knowledge work within the digital economy. *Futures*, *105*, 143–154.

Jennings, K. E. (2010). Developing Creativity: Artificial Barriers in Artificial Intelligence. *Minds and Machines*, *20*(4), 489–501.

Lim, D. (2018). AI & IP: Innovation & creativity in an age of accelerated change. *Akron L. Rev.*, *52*, 813.

Miller, A. I. (2019). *The artist in the machine: The world of AI-powered creativity*. Mit Press.

Ooi, K.-B., Tan, G. W.-H., Al-Emran, M., Al-Sharafi, M. A., Capatina, A., Chakraborty, A., Dwivedi, Y. K., Huang, T.-L., Kar, A. K., Lee, V.-H., Loh, X.-M., Micu, A., Mikalef, P., Mogaji, E., Pandey, N., Raman, R., Rana, N. P., Sarker, P., Sharma, A., … Wong, L.-W. (2023). The Potential of Generative Artificial Intelligence Across Disciplines: Perspectives and Future Directions. *Journal of Computer Information Systems*, 1–32. https://doi.org/10.1080/08874417.2023.2261010

Sabry, F. (2023). *Artificial Intelligence Creativity: Fundamentals and Applications* (Vol. 186). One Billion Knowledgeable.

Umar, Firdaus, M., Syibromilisi, & Isfandiari, F. (2024). Integrating Technology in English Language Teaching: Innovations in Computing and Effective Education Management. *Journal of English Teaching and Linguistics*, *1*(02), Article 02.

Umar, U., Mohammad, Q., & Irfan, A. (n.d.). *Puncak Kreativitas (Panduan Praktis Menulis Artikel Jurnal Yang Berkualitas)*.

Washington, J. (2023). The Impact of Generative Artificial Intelligence on Writer's Self-Efficacy: A Critical Literature Review. *Available at SSRN 4538043*.